

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,412	11/10/2003	Hans Dehli	50302/SAH/H362	4398	
23363 75	23363 7590 04/06/2006			EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			BROWN, MICHAEL A		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA.	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3764		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Asticus Occurrence	10/705,412	DEHLI, HANS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Brown	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-10,12,14-21 and 23 is/are rejection 7) Claim(s) 3,5,11,13,22 and 24 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	cted.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-10-03, 12-5-05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujii '218.

Fujji '218 discloses in figures 1-12 a massage system comprising a motor (col. 4, lines 6-8), having an output shaft 6, at least one bellows 37 connected to the plate by 28, having an extended position (when the bellows is extended) and a retracted position (when the bellows is retracted) the motor causes the plate to oscillate (swing in a seesaw motion) from a first position to a second position, the at least one bellows includes a first bellows 37 and a second bellows 34, arranged such that the plate is in a first position the first bellow is retraced (fig. 9) and the second bellow is extended (fig. 9) and when the plate is in a second position the second bellows is retracted and the first bellows is extended and the at least one bellow includes a first 37, a second 34, a third 33 and a fourth 39 bellows.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Warwick.

Warwick discloses in 3 a massage system comprising a motor 93, at least one bellows 88, having an extended position and a retracted position (inflation causes the bellows to extend and deflation causes the bellow to retract), at least one inflatable bladder 16 connected to each bellows (via tube 114) and the bladder is disposed in an expandable pad 14 (col. 5, lines 25-28, it appears that the material can be stretchable or non-stretchable. The reason for the material being stretchable is the pockets having rigid plates therein).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warwick.

Warwick discloses in figure 3 a massage system, substantially as claimed.

However, Warwick doesn't disclose more than one inflatable bladder. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that it is a matter of duplication to have one bladder on one side of the chest versus having two bladders with one bladder on each side of the chest to produce massaging on both side of the chest.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,412

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 6-10, 12, 14-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii '218 in view of Warwick, along with Hester '300.

Fujii '218 discloses a massage system, substantially as claimed, as set forth above that further comprises a massage chair 1. However, Fujii doesn't disclose a bladder connected to the bellows or bladders disposed in leg or seat portions of the chair. Warwick teaches in figure 3 a bladder 16 connected to a bellows 90. Hester teaches in figure 19 a massage system comprising a massage chair having bladders 94 in a leg and seat portion of the chair. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the bladder as taught by Warwick could be substituted for one of the bellows disclosed by Fujjii because the bellows and the bladder are used to perform the same function in a massaging device. The bladders as taught by Hester could be incorporated into the seat and leg portion of the chair disclosed by Fujii in order to provide massaging to the leg and seat of an individual. The bladder could be duplicated to provide two bladders that correspond to two bellows.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5, 11, 13, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hayashi discloses a massage system.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown March 3, 2006

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael 9 br